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For guidance, we would expect the following for a talk to be judged ‘good’ or ‘excellent’:

**Style:** Logical organisation, illustrations appropriate, clear and readable. Audible and good pace. At least 3 minutes for questions.

**Content:** Clearly stated objectives; Methods including data analysis clear and appropriate; Results comprehensible; Reasoned conclusions.

**Originality:** New contribution to knowledge; Overall science merit is high.

**Response to questions:** Answers to questions were concise and informed.

Please note that to be a possible winner, all categories usually need to be scored 9 or 10 and the judge normally finds it difficult to make any suggestions how the talk could have been improved. Please indicate at the top of the page whether you think the student is a possible winner (and give additional comments here if you wish).

**Feedback** (Please try to provide one or two comments to help the students improve)
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Thank you for your time.