

UK Insect Decline and Extinctions



Insects provide vital goods and services for wildlife, food production and human health, and their decline threatens important natural processes. Despite some insects being in long-term decline, a few species are showing stable or increasing trends. Insects can respond to interventions quickly. This POSTnote will summarise the evidence for insect declines in the UK, the drivers of trends, and interventions to support the recovery of insect populations.

Background

Insects play a pivotal role in natural processes that support other living organisms, and human health and well-being (POSTnote [281](#)). Adult insects have six legs and usually one or two pairs of wings and are the most diverse group of animals.^{1–4} Roles include pollination (POSTnote [348](#) and [442](#)), pest and weed regulation, decomposition, nutrient cycling, and provision of food for wildlife and humans (Box 1). They can also be agricultural pests or transmit disease (Box 2).^{5–18} Insects are key indicators for monitoring ecosystems (POSTnote [312](#)).^{9,19,20}

Concerns about insect decline attracted wider attention following studies showing large declines in insect abundance and biomass.^{21–23} Recent media attention has claimed unprecedented declines in insects across the globe leading to an ‘insectageddon’²⁴ causing the “collapse of nature”.²⁵ These claims were largely based on a review of scientific articles from across the globe.²⁶ However, this study has been criticised as the evidence it reviewed was predominantly from North America and Europe, which may have skewed the conclusions. It also excluded studies that reported stable or increasing populations by only selecting those showing a decline.^{27–31} As such, the trends for global insect populations remain largely unknown but could be underestimated.^{32,33} However, studies in Europe found insect abundance or biomass declined between 38% and 75%.^{21,34–38}

Overview

- There have been documented declines in insect species and populations. Generalist species are less likely to decline than more specialised species. The impacts of this on ecological processes are poorly quantified.
- The UK has unparalleled data from long-term monitoring, but it is limited by gaps in what is measured and how. There are few long-term data sets with abundance data.
- Drivers of decline, such as habitat loss, are common across insect groups and can interact to cause combined pressure on populations. However, environmental changes can benefit some species while negatively affecting others.
- Interventions, such as habitat creation, may play a role in halting declines, but the scale and types need careful consideration.

Limitations of UK Insect Decline Data

An ideal dataset for understanding insect decline would include insects from a wide range of ecosystems, using samples collected in a standardised way.^{3,39,40,20,33} Globally, data on

Box 1: Economic Importance of Beneficial Insects

Insects have economic, social and cultural value.^{2,9,41–43} A decline in insects may negatively impact ecosystem services,^{44,45} and could be costly.⁴⁶ Currently, there is limited evidence for the quantitative value of ecosystem services provided by insects, but some examples are emerging. The economic value of pollination to UK crop production is approximately £500 million a year.^{47,48} Dung beetles are estimated to be saving the UK cattle industry £367 million each year and £37.42 per cow through reducing flies and increasing nutrients in the soil.⁴⁹ Natural pest control (by ground beetles and parasitoid wasps) of widespread aphid pests is worth up to £2.3 million per year in South East England wheat fields alone.^{46,50} Freshwater insects in their larval stage, such as dragonflies or mayflies, can also filter water, remove pollutants and provide food for bats¹⁷, birds⁵¹ and fish (such as salmon and trout⁵²). This supports recreational activities, including angling (which contributed £1.46 billion to the English economy in 2015⁵³). Insects also have social and cultural value.⁵⁴ Some studies have attempted to quantify the value the public place on insects; for example, a study found that people were willing to pay approximately £43 per household per year to support bee protection policy; equating to £842 million per year⁵⁵ when scaled up to 31 million taxpayers.

insects are limited because of the large number of species.^{1,3,56} The UK has more data than many countries due to its long-term recording schemes, natural history collections, citizen science engagement and insect research community.^{57,58} Emerging labour-efficient methods can help data collection through remotely monitoring larger areas, such as bioacoustics^{59–62} and BioDAR^{63–65} (see POSTbrief 36).⁶⁶ New identification methods such as DNA analysis may prove more reliable in the future (see POSTbrief 36).^{67–74} New methods still require expert interpretation. Current data are limited by gaps in what is measured and how, including:^{3,40}

- **Methods.** Surveys that used a standardised sampling across sites, measured at systematic intervals, exist for a limited group of species and habitats.^{40,75–78}
- **Time.** Limited data are available before the 1970s,⁷⁹ creating an arbitrary baseline for comparison.^{40,80} Using data collected irregularly across time may lead to uncertainty.^{56,81,82,33}
- **Location.** The gaps in where data are collected can lead to a bias towards some habitats such as nature reserves and agricultural systems. The trends from these systems may not be transferable to other systems. This bias has begun to be addressed through several schemes.^{40,76,81,82}
- **What is measured.** Data focus on specific insect groups; such as bees,⁸³ rather than other groups, such as decomposers;^{2,3,56,84} and more easily observed adult forms rather than caterpillars or aquatic larvae.⁶⁶
- **The type of data** can affect the conclusions made about insect declines. For example, species richness tells us the number of species present. However, abundance (number of individuals of a species) may have a stronger link with ecosystem services than richness.^{9,21,45,85–87} Without knowing the abundance and species identity, changes in communities can be masked.⁸⁸ The range of data can make comparisons across insect groups, locations and time difficult but statistical modelling can be used.^{85,89}
- **Who is collecting data.** The reliance on volunteers means that there is often irregular sampling.^{39,85,90} To continue collecting data, long-term investment into resources, building skills and capacity, is required to sustain volunteers, support amateurs and incentivise professional development.^{40,91–97}
- **Accessing data.** Some data are fragmented (held privately by researchers, or companies like agricultural or environmental consultancies, see POSTbrief 36).⁴⁰

Trends in UK Insects

The UK has experienced extinctions and declines in abundance, biomass and distribution of insects. Of the 2430 GB insect species assessed by Natural England, 55 have gone extinct and 286 (11%) are threatened.⁹⁸ Total aerial insect biomass declined at one of four sites since 1973.⁹⁹ Data from 3089 insect species showed that the distribution size for terrestrial insects increased during 1970–1980s but declined sharply between 2005–2015.⁸³ However, freshwater insects have recovered since the early 2000s.⁸³ Insects have also become less widely distributed by 10% on average (1970–2015).¹⁹

Insects may be declining faster than other groups.¹⁰⁰ The average abundance of insect indicator species (butterflies and moths) is decreasing while bird and mammal abundance remains stable.¹⁹ Declines in distribution are comparable across

mammals, plants and insects (4–8%).¹⁹ However, a study found that butterfly distribution declined more than birds and plants.³⁴

Declines in abundance or distribution have been seen in bees and hoverflies,^{32,101} butterflies³⁴ and moths,³⁵ beetles,¹⁰² and freshwater insects.^{48,101,103,104} However, some species are increasing in biomass.¹⁰⁵ The data available for the most studied insect groups are summarised below.

Bees and Hoverflies

Bees and hoverflies experienced dramatic losses between the 1950s and 1980s but losses have slowed since the 1990s.⁷⁷ Of 353 wild pollinator species, 117 (33%) had decreasing distributions (1980–2013).³² Yet, 10% of species had increasing distributions.^{32,97} The rest (57%) had an unclear trend.³² Pollinators key to European crops increased by 12%, potentially supported by agri-environment schemes or the increased area of oilseed rape.^{32,106,107} Since 1909, 20 bee and wasp species have gone extinct in Britain.¹⁰⁸

Butterflies and Moths

Of 62 butterfly species, 19 (31%) are threatened and four have gone extinct in GB.¹⁰⁹ Butterfly abundance has declined for 21 species since 1976.¹¹⁰ However, abundance increased for 11 species¹¹¹ (such as silver-washed fritillary with a 127% increase).¹¹² Of 337 moth species, 111 (33%) had increasing trends but 222 (66%) were declining, and 71 species declined by over 30% per decade (1968–2004).¹⁰⁰ Total GB moth abundance has decreased by 31% (1969–2016).^{100,113} Moth declines occurred in coastal, urban and woodland habitats.¹¹³ However, some evidence suggests that moth biomass may be increasing, implying that a few species are doing well.⁷⁹

Beetles

Of 1134 beetle species in GB, 13% are threatened.⁹⁸ A significant decline in abundance of ground beetles was found in 75% of 68 species, and 34 of those species decreased by 30% each decade (1994–2008).¹⁰² The number of species also declined.¹⁰² However, abundance in chalk downlands, woodlands and hedgerows increased (16–57%).¹⁰²

Box 2: Pests and Invasive Non-Native Species

While other insects are in decline, there are concerns that pest species (native and invasive) such as weevils,¹⁰¹ aphids,¹¹² and cabbage stem flea beetles¹¹⁰ may be increasing (POSTnotes 303, 394, 439), with negative impacts on crop yields.^{114,115} Climate change and emerging resistance of pests to insecticides¹¹⁶ amplifies this increase¹¹⁷ (POSTnote 597). Although some invasive species can create opportunities (such as increased pollen availability), others pose risks (such as increased predation, see POSTbrief 36).¹¹⁸ The impact of the invasive species depends on the abundance and the role it plays in the system. For example, some invasive plants are readily taken up by native pollinators but these interactions are poorly understood and risks could be overlooked with limited knowledge.¹¹⁸ Invasive predators tend to exert strong top-down pressure on insects.^{44,119,120} For example, the Asian hornet feeds on bees.⁴⁷ Other stressors, such as disease and pesticides, can make bees more vulnerable to predation.^{121,122} Risk registers include non-native species with potential economic risks, but are less effective at capturing the ecological risks.¹²³

Freshwater Insects

Of 724 aquatic insect species in GB, 68 (9%) are threatened and 11 have gone extinct.⁹⁸ A recent UK study found that dragon-fly, caddis-fly and mayfly distributions decreased in the 1970–1990s, but recovered in the 2000s to above 1970 levels.¹⁰¹ Species with increasing distributions tend to be generalists or have adapted to warmer climates.¹²⁴ A study in Wales found that populations of specialist caddis-flies, stoneflies and beetles were more likely to decline even if overall species richness remained stable.⁸⁸

Drivers of Insect Trends

There are a variety of drivers behind insect decline and their impacts differ across habitat, species and time (see POSTbrief 36). There is a lack of evidence on how some of these drivers affect different insects as the impacts may have already occurred prior to sufficient monitoring.^{40,99,126,127,33} Drivers may also interact with each other and increase their impact on insect populations.^{41,47,128,129} For example, bees can be more susceptible to parasites and the effects of habitat loss during exposure to pesticides.^{128,130} Climate change is likely to interact with multiple stressors such as habitat loss.^{35,37,131,132} Wild and commercially-managed insects share drivers of decline such as loss of habitats, reduced variety in plants and exposure to chemicals (Box 3).^{128,133–135} Key drivers are known to include:

- **Habitat loss, fragmentation and degradation.** Habitat loss and degradation caused by land-use change can reduce the resources for insects across their life stages (breeding sites, foraging sites, shelter from weather and predators).^{47,66,136,137} Hostile environments between fragmented semi-natural habitats make it more difficult for species to move (POSTnote 300).¹³⁸ Specialist species are more vulnerable to the impacts of land-use change.^{47,108} For example, some species are reliant on human-modified habitats, such as brownfield sites.¹³⁹
- **Urbanisation** can impact habitat connectivity.¹²⁸ Pollution, including air, water and light, can impact insects but evidence on these is limited (see POSTbrief 36).^{140–151} Some urban habitats, such as gardens, can support high and unique insect biodiversity but are often dominated by generalist species.^{152–160}
- **Land-use intensification.** Large-scale monoculture is often accompanied by high chemical inputs, tillage and mowing.¹⁶¹ This can impact insects through habitat loss, degradation and fragmentation.^{23,47,162} Although monoculture of some crops provides resources for pollinators,⁴⁷ the simplification of the landscape can reduce plants¹⁶³ and nesting sites.⁴⁴ Crops only flower for a short time, whereas wildflowers offer resources throughout insect lifecycles.^{41,44,136,164} This can contribute to decreased insect abundance, and changes in community composition and ecosystem service provision.^{44,45,134,165,166}
- **Pesticides, fertilizers and veterinary medicines.** Chemicals are used in rural and urban environments that can have unintended direct and indirect negative impacts on non-target wildlife,¹⁴ including insects.^{47,107,135,162,167–184} In one study of wild pollinator individuals with detectable levels of chemicals, 71% had been exposed to more than one compound.¹⁶⁸ This increases toxicity and stress.^{12,169,170}

Box 3: Commercially Used Insect Population Trends

Bumblebees and solitary bees are managed but little is known about these populations in the UK as registration is not required.⁴⁷ Current evidence is biased towards pollinators such as honey bees.¹⁶⁷ Honey bee colonies and bee-keepers decreased by 31% across Europe between 1985–2005.¹³³ However, registered honey bee colonies in the UK grew from 90,000 in 2008 to 247,000 in 2017.¹⁸⁵ These figures may be inaccurate as honey bee registration is voluntary.⁴⁷ The drivers of honey bee declines are most likely economic, such as difficulty in making money from bee farming, the reduced cost of importing bees,^{133,186} and high costs of treating diseases and concern over pesticide exposure.^{186–188} Diseases can contribute to declining numbers^{47,189} although the scale of impact on recent declines remains unclear (see POSTbrief 36).¹³³ The management of disease relies on good bee-keeping practice.^{47,190} There is limited knowledge on how disease impacts services or wild insects.^{47,167,191} Managed bees (honey^{191,192} and bumble¹⁹³) share pathogens with wild pollinators, with potential for negative impacts (bumblebees, solitary bees or hoverflies) but the direction in which the infection occurs is unclear.^{191,193–196} Managed bees can also compete with wild pollinators for resources.

- The impacts of this combined exposure remain unclear.⁴⁷ These chemicals can build up in soils and plants, and can run off into water systems, further impacting insects.^{12,197–199} There is limited evidence on the impacts of pesticides on non-target insects that aren't pollinators; with most research since 2014 focussing on the impacts of neonicotinoid pesticides (Box 4).¹⁶⁷
- **Climate change** can affect individual insect species both positively and negatively.^{19,35,84,125,162,200–209} For example, due to a warming climate, aphids had an earlier and longer flight season and were able to reproduce more compared to previous years; becoming more abundant.^{112,200} Changes in weather and temperature can alter the timings of insect lifecycles that can negatively impact fitness or prevent emergence altogether.^{89,112,158,210–215} Of 130 butterfly and moth species, 39 had increasing abundance, but early emergence led to neutral or negative impacts for 91 species.²¹⁶ The range of some species has expanded northwards and upwards while others have contracted.^{84,131} These changes in communities can lead to temporary increases in the number of species through the rise of novel ecosystems.²¹⁷

Box 4: Neonicotinoid Pesticides

In 2018, an EU-wide ban was applied due to poisoning and sublethal effects on pollinators⁴⁴ (which can translate to reduced reproduction or colony level failures)²¹⁸ but evidence for other insects is limited (Commons Briefing Papers SN06656).^{219–224} However, risk of exposure remains, with persistent detectable levels²²² and increased toxicity²²³ across environments.¹⁷¹ Honey bees and bumble-bees can exhibit preferences for neonicotinoid-treated food over time, making it difficult to control their exposure.²²⁴ Neonicotinoids can also negatively impact aquatic systems.^{14,172,225,226} In response to the ban, older and less effective insecticides are being used, as are newer insecticides that have limited evidence of impact.^{47,227–230}

Interventions to Support Insect Recovery

The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 prevents collecting or killing of a small number of butterfly, moth and beetle species.^{231,232} The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 identifies individual insect species for conservation.²³³ The Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) covers seven UK insect species. The 2014 National Pollinator Strategy²³⁴ is being delivered with a range of stakeholders. Future policy suggestions for insect recovery have been published.^{235,20} Future policy for England includes commitments set out in the 25-Year Environment Plan for the Natural Environment.²³⁶ This includes creating or restoring 500,000 hectares of wildlife-rich habitat outside protected sites as part of a Nature Recovery Network to connect habitats across the country.²³⁷ This enables insects to move through habitats, allowing some species to adapt to changes in climate. The Environment Bill 2019-2020 makes provisions for setting long-term biodiversity targets.²³⁸

Insect conservation can support other animals and demonstrate the quality of the environment.^{9,19} Insects have the potential to recover faster than other animal groups due to their rapid life cycles and can even respond to small scale interventions (see Box 5). Evidence synthesis of measures to address the drivers of decline is skewed towards pollinators, but new studies are addressing this.²³⁹

Habitat Creation, Connection and Protection

Protecting and creating habitats for other groups (plants or birds) can support insects,²⁴⁰⁻²⁴² but more targeted conservation for insects would include conserving a range of habitats (semi-natural and micro).^{47,243-248,20} For example, heathlands can protect important pollinator-plant interactions.^{240,249} Wild pollinators^{250,251} are supported by bare ground (for nests),²⁵⁰ flower strips,^{106,136,252,253} restored grass and heathland,^{249,250,254} and nest boxes and uncropped naturally regenerated field margins.^{32,251} Chalk and limestone grassland, broadleaved woodland, and natural grassland produce the greatest amount of nectar per unit area.¹³⁶

The success of habitat creation is determined by its structure, resources (such as nectar, dung or food plants), the extent of fragmentation and diversity of surrounding habitats, and the absence of pressures (POSTbrief 34).^{10,47,66,106,255,256,20} For example, hedgerows in a landscape can act as corridors to facilitate insect movement.^{156,257,258} Habitat creation can be implemented in either urban areas (Box 5) or agricultural land. Species that have become locally extinct can be re-introduced to protected or created habitat (Box 6).

Habitat Creation on Agricultural Land

Under the Agriculture Bill 2019-20, results-based payments could be made to incentivise high-quality implementation that maximises the complexity and connectivity of habitats (POSTnote 377).²⁵⁹ This could be supported by co-operative incentives that encourage peer-to-peer knowledge exchange and working at a larger scale. The evidence on the uptake and quality of implementation is limited.²⁶⁰ For example, flower mixes and hedgerows may have increased since 2015 because of the Countryside Stewardship Scheme package,^{47,261} but it only represents 1% of national nectar provision.¹³⁶ The benefits of these interventions are limited to a few surrounding

Box 5: Urban Habitat Creation

Urban spaces; such as brownfield sites, ponds, road/rail verges, gardens, allotments and green roofs; support insects.^{153,155-160,254,262-267} Reducing mowing can support insects but appropriate timings should be explored.^{268,269} Flower mixes should be chosen carefully to support pollinators and provide breeding habitat.^{136,160,270-272} However, public perception may pose a barrier to implementation. There is a concern that road or rail interventions could create an 'ecological trap' by drawing pollinators to dangerous areas, exposing them to risks from cars and pollution.²⁷³ Another option is reducing the use of pesticides in urban areas.^{47,168,171,274-276} Bee or bug 'hotel' effectiveness depends on the quality of the surrounding habitat.^{47,277} Light pollution may also limit effectiveness.¹³⁵ 'Re-wilding' gardens can reduce chemicals and increase habitats such as flowers and ponds.²⁷⁸⁻²⁸² Rewilding could also restore processes on a larger scale (POSTnote 537).

acres and common species.²⁸³⁻²⁸⁷ Training land managers can improve the quality of implementation.²⁸⁸⁻²⁹⁰ For example, appropriate tree planting that creates diverse habitats can support insects,²⁹¹⁻²⁹⁶ but planting appropriate native trees supports more insect species than introduced tree species.²⁹⁷

Other Interventions for Agricultural Land

Other interventions can include organic farming,^{17,47,298} diversifying crops,^{41,44,47} beetle banks,²⁹⁹ and reducing inputs (fertilizers, herbicides, pesticides and fungicides,²⁵¹ or livestock medical treatments^{12,41,197}). Freshwater insects benefit from buffer strips that decrease run-off pollution in freshwater systems.³⁰⁰ Training and education around chemical use can prevent and reduce impacts.⁴⁴ However, bans can also be effective in reducing the use of high-risk chemicals (Box 4).⁴⁴

Integrated Pest Management gives preference to non-chemical methods to manage pests such as using crop rotations, field margins and biological control.^{47,236,301-303} In 2017, the National Farmers Union developed a self-assessment tool for farmers.³⁰⁴ This has been completed by 16,820 farmers and growers, covering 25% of the UK total agricultural area.⁴⁷ However, this data are not public so there is no current review being undertaken on the effects, at a farm-scale, on insects.⁴⁷

Box 6: Reintroductions and Assisted Colonisation

Reintroducing species to habitats they naturally occupied or to habitats with continued climate suitability can reverse extinctions and increase complexity and resilience of systems.^{45,305,306} For example, two UK butterfly species (marbled white and small skipper) were introduced to sites in Northern England that were outside of their existing range but had a suitable climate. Both populations grew and expanded their range.³⁰⁵ This is called assisted colonisation.³⁰⁵ Reintroductions that are evidence-based and supported by stakeholders are more likely to be successful. An example is the large blue butterfly, which is of global importance but was extinct in the UK in 1979 and reintroduced in the 1980s.³⁰⁷ It became very abundant at a number of sites by 2014³⁰⁸ and benefited other species³⁰⁹ (some endangered³⁰⁷). Understanding the large blue's relationship with a species of red ant and the wider ecosystem was essential for successful reintroduction.

Endnotes:

1. Collen, B. *et al.* (2012). [Spineless: status and trends of the world's invertebrates.](#)
2. Noriega, J. A. *et al.* (2018). [Research trends in ecosystem services provided by insects.](#) *Basic and Applied Ecology*, Vol 26, 8–23.
3. Cardoso, P. *et al.* (2019). [Predicting a global insect apocalypse: Insect apocalypse.](#) *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, Vol 12, 263–267.
4. "Insect." *The Merriam-Webster.com Dictionary.* Merriam-Webster Inc.
5. Kleijn, D. *et al.* (2015). [Delivery of crop pollination services is an insufficient argument for wild pollinator conservation.](#) *Nature Communications*, Vol 6, 7414.
6. Phillips, B. B. *et al.* (2018). [Shared traits make flies and bees effective pollinators of oilseed rape \(*Brassica napus* L.\).](#) *Basic and Applied Ecology*, Vol 32, 66–76.
7. Biesmeijer, J. C. (2006). [Parallel Declines in Pollinators and Insect-Pollinated Plants in Britain and the Netherlands.](#) *Science*, Vol 313, 351–354.
8. Bohan, D. A. *et al.* (2011). [National-scale regulation of the weed seedbank by carabid predators: Carabid seed predation.](#) *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 48, 888–898.
9. Macadam, C. R. *et al.* (2015). [More than just fish food: ecosystem services provided by freshwater insects: Ecosystem services and freshwater insects.](#) *Ecological Entomology*, Vol 40, 113–123.
10. Rega, C. *et al.* (2018). [A pan-European model of landscape potential to support natural pest control services.](#) *Ecological Indicators*, Vol 90, 653–664.
11. Benton, T. G. *et al.* (2002). [Linking agricultural practice to insect and bird populations: a historical study over three decades: Farming, insect and bird populations.](#) *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 39, 673–687.
12. Gilbert, G. *et al.* (2019). [Adverse effects of routine bovine health treatments containing triclabendazole and synthetic pyrethroids on the abundance of dipteran larvae in bovine faeces.](#) *Scientific Reports*, Vol 9, 4315.
13. Morse, D. H. (1971). [The Insectivorous Bird as an Adaptive Strategy.](#) *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, Vol 2, 177–200.
14. Hallmann, C. A. *et al.* (2014). [Declines in insectivorous birds are associated with high neonicotinoid concentrations.](#) *Nature*, Vol 511, 341.
15. Mlcek, J. *et al.* (2014). [A Comprehensive Look at the Possibilities of Edible Insects as Food in Europe – A Review.](#) *Polish Journal of Food and Nutrition Sciences*, Vol 64, 147–157.
16. Payne, C. L. R. *et al.* (2016). [A systematic review of nutrient composition data available for twelve commercially available edible insects, and comparison with reference values.](#) *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, Vol 47, 69–77.
17. Wickramasinghe, L. P. *et al.* (2004). [Abundance and Species Richness of Nocturnal Insects on Organic and Conventional Farms: Effects of Agricultural Intensification on Bat Foraging.](#) *Conservation Biology*, Vol 18, 1283–1292.
18. Orford, K. A. *et al.* (2015). [The forgotten flies: the importance of non-syrphid Diptera as pollinators.](#) *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, Vol 282, 20142934.
19. Hayhow, D. *et al.* (2019). [The State of Nature 2019.](#) The State of Nature partnership.
20. Samways, M. J. *et al.* (2020). [Solutions for humanity on how to conserve insects.](#) *Biological Conservation*, Vol 242, 108427.
21. Hallmann, C. A. *et al.* (2017). [More than 75 percent decline over 27 years in total flying insect biomass in protected areas.](#) *PLOS ONE*, Vol 12, e0185809.
22. Lister, B. C. *et al.* (2018). [Climate-driven declines in arthropod abundance restructure a rainforest food web.](#) *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Vol 115, E10397–E10406.
23. Seibold, S. *et al.* (2019). [Arthropod decline in grasslands and forests is associated with landscape-level drivers.](#) *Nature*, Vol 574, 671–674.
24. Monbiot, G. (2017). [Insectageddon: farming is more catastrophic than climate breakdown | George Monbiot.](#) *The Guardian.*
25. Carrington, D. (2019). [Plummeting insect numbers 'threaten collapse of nature'.](#) *The Guardian.*
26. Sánchez-Bayo, F. *et al.* (2019). [Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review of its drivers.](#) *Biological Conservation*, Vol 232, 8–27.
27. Simmons, B. I. *et al.* (2019). [Worldwide insect declines: An important message, but interpret with caution.](#) *Ecology and Evolution*, Vol 9, 3678–3680.
28. Komonen, A. *et al.* (2019). [Alarmist by bad design: Strongly popularized unsubstantiated claims undermine credibility of conservation science.](#) *Rethinking Ecology*, Vol 4, 17–19.
29. Thomas, C. D. *et al.* (2019). ["Insectageddon": A call for more robust data and rigorous analyses.](#) *Global Change Biology*, Vol 25, 1891–1892.
30. Wagner, D. L. (2019). [Global insect decline: Comments on Sánchez-Bayo and Wyckhuys \(2019\).](#) *Biological Conservation*, Vol 233, 332–333.
31. Mupepele, A.-C. *et al.* (2019). [Insect decline and its drivers: Unsupported conclusions in a poorly performed meta-analysis on trends—A critique of Sánchez-Bayo and Wyckhuys \(2019\).](#) *Basic and Applied Ecology*, Vol 37, 20–23.
32. Powney, G. D. *et al.* (2019). [Widespread losses of pollinating insects in Britain.](#) *Nature Communications*, Vol 10, 1018.
33. Didham, R. K. *et al.* (2020). [Interpreting insect declines: seven challenges and a way forward.](#) *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, Vol 13.
34. Thomas, J. A. (2004). [Comparative Losses of British Butterflies, Birds, and Plants and the Global Extinction Crisis.](#) *Science*, Vol 303, 1879–1881.
35. Fox, R. *et al.* (2014). [Long-term changes to the frequency of occurrence of British moths are consistent with opposing and synergistic effects of climate and land-use changes.](#) *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 51, 949–957.
36. Hallmann, C. A. *et al.* (2019). [Declining abundance of beetles, moths and caddisflies in the Netherlands.](#) *Insect Conservation and Diversity*,
37. Warren, M. S. *et al.* (2001). [Rapid responses of British butterflies to opposing forces of climate and habitat change.](#) *Nature*, Vol 414, 65–69.
38. Hallmann, C. A. *et al.* (2019). [Declining abundance of beetles, moths and caddisflies in the Netherlands.](#) *Insect Conservation and Diversity*
39. Kunin, W. E. (2019). [Robust evidence of declines in insect abundance and biodiversity.](#) *Nature*, Vol 574, 641–642.
40. Montgomery, G. A. *et al.* (2020). [Is the insect apocalypse upon us? How to find out.](#) *Biological Conservation*, Vol 241, 108327.

41. Potts, S. G. *et al.* (2016). [Safeguarding pollinators and their values to human well-being](#). *Nature*, Vol 540, 220–229.
42. Losey, J. E. *et al.* (2006). [The Economic Value of Ecological Services Provided by Insects](#). *BioScience*, Vol 56, 311.
43. Ollerton, J. *et al.* (2016). [Insect pollinators boost the market price of culturally important crops: holly, mistletoe and the spirit of Christmas](#). *Journal of Pollination Ecology*, Vol 19, 93–97.
44. Potts, S. G. *et al.* (2016). [The assessment report on pollinators, pollination and food production: summary for policymakers](#).
45. Oliver, T. H. *et al.* (2015). [Declining resilience of ecosystem functions under biodiversity loss](#). *Nature Communications*, Vol 6,
46. Zhang, H. *et al.* (2018). [Economic valuation of natural pest control of the summer grain aphid in wheat in South East England](#). *Ecosystem Services*, Vol 30, 149–157.
47. Steele, D. J. *et al.* (2019). [Management and drivers of change of pollinating insects and pollination services. National Pollinator Strategy: for bees and other pollinators in England. Evidence statements and Summary of Evidence](#). Defra.
48. Breeze, T. D. *et al.* (2016). [Economic Measures of Pollination Services: Shortcomings and Future Directions](#). *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, Vol 31, 927–939.
49. Beynon, S. A. *et al.* (2015). [The application of an ecosystem services framework to estimate the economic value of dung beetles to the U.K. cattle industry: Economic benefits of dung beetles](#). *Ecological Entomology*, Vol 40, 124–135.
50. Lövei, G. L. *et al.* (1996). [Ecology and Behavior of Ground Beetles \(Coleoptera: Carabidae\)](#). *Annual Review of Entomology*, Vol 41, 231–256.
51. Vickery, J. A. *et al.* (2001). [The management of lowland neutral grasslands in Britain: effects of agricultural practices on birds and their food resources](#). *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 38, 647–664.
52. (2018). [The Riverfly Census](#). Salmon & Trout Conservation.
53. Salado, R. *et al.* [A survey of freshwater angling in England. Phase 1: angling activity, expenditure and economic impact](#). Environment Agency.
54. Sumner, S. *et al.* (2018). [Why we love bees and hate wasps: Why we love bees and hate wasps](#). *Ecological Entomology*, Vol 43, 836–845.
55. Mwebaze, P. *et al.* (2018). [Measuring public perception and preferences for ecosystem services: A case study of bee pollination in the UK](#). *Land Use Policy*, Vol 71, 355–362.
56. Saunders, M. E. *et al.* (2019). [Understanding the evidence informing the insect apocalypse myth](#).
57. Pocock, M. J. O. *et al.* (2015). [The Biological Records Centre: a pioneer of citizen science](#). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, Vol 115, 475–493.
58. (2019). Christopher Hassall, Personal Comms.
59. Aide, T. M. *et al.* (2013). [Real-time bioacoustics monitoring and automated species identification](#). *PeerJ*, Vol 1, e103.
60. Miller-Struttman, N. E. *et al.* (2017). [Flight of the bumble bee: Buzzes predict pollination services](#). *PLOS ONE*, Vol 12, e0179273.
61. Zilli, D. *et al.* (2014). [A Hidden Markov Model-Based Acoustic Cicada Detector for Crowdsourced Smartphone Biodiversity Monitoring](#). *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, Vol 51, 805–827.
62. [BioDAR](#). BioDAR.
63. [BioDAR: Grants on the Web](#).
64. Bell, J. R. *et al.* (2013). [Predicting Insect Migration Density and Speed in the Daytime Convective Boundary Layer](#). *PLoS ONE*, Vol 8, e54202.
65. Sutherland, W. J. *et al.* (2016). [A Horizon Scan of Global Conservation Issues for 2016](#). *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, Vol 31, 44–53.
66. Gill, R. J. *et al.* (2016). [Protecting an Ecosystem Service: approaches to understanding and mitigating threats to wild insect pollinators](#). in *Advances in Ecological Research*. Vol 54, 135–206. Elsevier.
67. Dincă, V. *et al.* (2011). [Complete DNA barcode reference library for a country's butterfly fauna reveals high performance for temperate Europe](#). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, Vol 278, 347–355.
68. [Digital collections programme](#), Natural History Museum.
69. [Pinned Insect Digitisation](#), Natural History Museum.
70. Schmidt, S. *et al.* (2015). [DNA barcoding largely supports 250 years of classical taxonomy: identifications for Central European bees \(Hymenoptera, Apoidea partim\)](#). *Molecular Ecology Resources*, Vol 15, 985–1000.
71. Hebert, P. D. N. *et al.* (2013). [A DNA 'Barcode Blitz': Rapid Digitization and Sequencing of a Natural History Collection](#). *PLoS ONE*, Vol 8, e68535.
72. Timmermans, M. J. T. N. *et al.* (2016). [Rapid assembly of taxonomically validated mitochondrial genomes from historical insect collections](#). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, Vol 117, 83–95.
73. [Environmental Change Network](#).
74. Harvey, D. J. *et al.* (2011). [The stag beetle: a collaborative conservation study across Europe: Stag beetle conservation](#). *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, Vol 4, 2–3.
75. [The Insect Survey](#), Rothamsted Research.
76. [UK Pollinator Monitoring Scheme \(PoMS\): UK Pollinator Monitoring and Research Partnership](#). Centre for Ecology & Hydrology.
77. Carvalheiro, L. G. *et al.* (2013). [Species richness declines and biotic homogenisation have slowed down for NW-European pollinators and plants](#). *Ecology Letters*, Vol 16, 870–878.
78. Leather, S. R. *et al.* (2010). [Do shifting baselines in natural history knowledge threaten the environment?](#) *The Environmentalist*, Vol 30, 1–2.
79. Macgregor, C. J. *et al.* (2019). [Moth biomass increases and decreases over 50 years in Britain](#). *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, Vol 3, 1645–1649.
80. Basset, Y. *et al.* (2019). [Toward a world that values insects](#). *Science*, Vol 364, 1230–1231.
81. [Wider Countryside Butterfly Survey](#).
82. Brereton, T. M. *et al.* (2011). [Developing and launching a wider countryside butterfly survey across the United Kingdom](#). *Journal of Insect Conservation*, Vol 15, 279–290.
83. Outhwaite, C. *et al.* (2019). [Annual estimates of occupancy for bryophytes, lichens and invertebrates in the UK, 1970 – 2015](#). *Scientific Data*.
84. Mason, S. C. *et al.* (2015). [Geographical range margins of many taxonomic groups continue to shift polewards](#). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, Vol 115, 586–597.
85. Woodcock, B. A. *et al.* (2019). [Meta-analysis reveals that pollinator functional diversity and abundance](#)

- enhance crop pollination and yield. *Nature Communications*, Vol 10, 1481.
86. Winfree, R. *et al.* (2015). [Abundance of common species, not species richness, drives delivery of a real-world ecosystem service.](#) *Ecology Letters*, Vol 18, 626–635.
 87. Pérez-Méndez, N. *et al.* (2020). [The economic cost of losing native pollinator species for orchard production.](#) *Journal of Applied Ecology*,
 88. Larsen, S. *et al.* (2018). [Lifting the veil: richness measurements fail to detect systematic biodiversity change over three decades.](#) *Ecology*, Vol 99, 1316–1326.
 89. Outhwaite, C. L. *et al.* (2018). [Prior specification in Bayesian occupancy modelling improves analysis of species occurrence data.](#) *Ecological Indicators*, Vol 93, 333–343.
 90. Isaac, N. J. B. *et al.* (2015). [Bias and information in biological records: Bias and information in biological records.](#) *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, Vol 115, 522–531.
 91. [Identification Trainers for the Future](#), Natural History Museum.
 92. [Courses and Experiences](#), Field Studies Council.
 93. [Record any species on the go. iRecord App.](#)
 94. [Apprenticeships, Bee Farmers Association.](#)
 95. Godfray, H. C. J. (2002). [Challenges for taxonomy.](#) *Nature*, Vol 417, 17–19.
 96. Mallet, J. *et al.* (2003). [Taxonomy: renaissance or Tower of Babel?](#) *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, Vol 18, 57–59.
 97. [BioLinks](#), Field Studies Council.
 98. Natural England (2019). Personal Comms.
 99. Shortall, C. R. *et al.* (2009). [Long-term changes in the abundance of flying insects.](#) *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, Vol 2, 251–260.
 100. Conrad, K. F. *et al.* (2006). [Rapid declines of common, widespread British moths provide evidence of an insect biodiversity crisis.](#) *Biological Conservation*, Vol 132, 279–291.
 101. Outhwaite, C. *et al.* (in review). Complexity of biodiversity change revealed through long-term trends of invertebrates, bryophytes and lichens. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*,
 102. Brooks, D. R. *et al.* (2012). [Large carabid beetle declines in a United Kingdom monitoring network increases evidence for a widespread loss in insect biodiversity.](#) *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 49, 1009–1019.
 103. Knowler, J. *et al.* (2016). Trichoptera (Caddisflies) caught by the Rothamsted light trap at Rowdennan, loch Lomondside throughout 2009. *The Glasgow Naturalist*, pp.35–42.
 104. Clausnitzer, V. *et al.* (2009). [Odonata enter the biodiversity crisis debate: The first global assessment of an insect group.](#) *Biological Conservation*, Vol 142, 1864–1869.
 105. MacGregor, C. J. *et al.* (2019). [Moth biomass increases and decreases over 50 years in Britain.](#) *Nature Ecology and Evolution*,
 106. Scheper, J. *et al.* (2013). [Environmental factors driving the effectiveness of European agri-environmental measures in mitigating pollinator loss - a meta-analysis.](#) *Ecology Letters*, Vol 16, 912–920.
 107. Woodcock, B. A. *et al.* (2016). [Impacts of neonicotinoid use on long-term population changes in wild bees in England.](#) *Nature Communications*, Vol 7, 12459.
 108. Ollerton, J. *et al.* (2014). [Extinctions of aculeate pollinators in Britain and the role of large-scale agricultural changes.](#) *Science*, Vol 346, 1360–1362.
 109. Warren, M. S. *et al.* (2011). [A new red list of British butterflies.](#) *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, 159–172.
 110. [Population trends of UK butterfly species to 2018: Official Statistics briefing.](#) Butterfly Conservation.
 111. Brereton, T. M. *et al.* (2018). [United Kingdom Butterfly Monitoring Scheme report for 2017.](#) Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Butterfly Conservation, British Trust for Ornithology and Joint Nature Conservation Committee.
 112. Bell, J. R. *et al.* (2015). [Long-term phenological trends, species accumulation rates, aphid traits and climate: five decades of change in migrating aphids.](#) *Journal of Animal Ecology*, Vol 84, 21–34.
 113. Bell, J.R., Blumgart, D. & Shortall, C.R. (2020) [Are insects declining and at what rate? An analysis of standardised, systematic catches of aphid and moth abundances across Great Britain.](#) *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, 13, doi: 10.1111/icad.12412
 114. [Crop Monitor.](#)
 115. [Growers fear for the future of oilseed rape in the UK after latest report.](#) *Farmers Guardian.*
 116. Bélanger, J. *et al.* (2019). [The State of the World's Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture.](#) in *FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments*. 572.
 117. [The Insecticide Resistance Action Group \(IRAG\), Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board \(AHDB\).](#)
 118. Cannon, R. J. C. (1998). [The implications of predicted climate change for insect pests in the UK, with emphasis on non-indigenous species.](#) *Global Change Biology*, Vol 4, 785–796.
 119. Vanbergen, A. J. *et al.* (2018). [Risks to pollinators and pollination from invasive alien species.](#) *Nat Ecol Evol*, Vol 2, 16–25.
 120. Roy, H. E. *et al.* (2012). [Invasive alien predator causes rapid declines of native European ladybirds: Alien predator causes declines of native ladybirds.](#) *Diversity and Distributions*, Vol 18, 717–725.
 121. Brown, P. M. J. *et al.* (2018). [Native ladybird decline caused by the invasive harlequin ladybird *Harmonia axyridis*: evidence from a long-term field study.](#) *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, Vol 11, 230–239.
 122. Zhang, E. *et al.* (2015). [The neonicotinoid imidacloprid impairs honey bee aversive learning of simulated predation.](#) *Journal of Experimental Biology*, Vol 218, 3199–3205.
 123. Tan, K. *et al.* (2014). [Imidacloprid Alters Foraging and Decreases Bee Avoidance of Predators.](#) *PLoS ONE*, Vol 9, e102725.
 124. [UK Plant Health Risk Register.](#)
 125. Powney, G. D. *et al.* (2015). [Trait correlates of distribution trends in the Odonata of Britain and Ireland.](#) *PeerJ*, Vol 3, e1410.
 126. Isaac, N. J. B. (2016). [Provision of Evidence Statements to accompany the UK and England Species Trend Indicators and an Overview of the Causes of Biodiversity Change. Final Report.](#)
 127. Bonebrake, T. C. *et al.* (2010). [Population decline assessment, historical baselines, and conservation: Inferring population declines.](#) *Conservation Letters*, Vol 3, 371–378
 128. Goulson, D. *et al.* (2015). [Bee declines driven by combined stress from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers.](#) *Science*, Vol 347, 1255957–1255957.

129. Senapathi, D. *et al.* (2017). [Landscape impacts on pollinator communities in temperate systems: evidence and knowledge gaps](#). *Functional Ecology*, Vol 31, 26–37.
130. Park, M. G. *et al.* (2015). [Negative effects of pesticides on wild bee communities can be buffered by landscape context](#). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, Vol 282, 20150299.
131. Platts, P. J. *et al.* (2019). [Habitat availability explains variation in climate-driven range shifts across multiple taxonomic groups](#). *Scientific Reports*, Vol 9, 1–10.
132. Auffret, A. G. *et al.* (2019). [Synergistic and antagonistic effects of land use and non-native species on community responses to climate change](#). *Global Change Biology*,
133. Potts, S. G. *et al.* (2010). [Declines of managed honey bees and beekeepers in Europe](#). *Journal of Apicultural Research*, Vol 49, 15–22.
134. Gray, A. *et al.* (2019). [Loss rates of honey bee colonies during winter 2017/18 in 36 countries participating in the COLOSS survey, including effects of forage sources](#). *Journal of Apicultural Research*, Vol 58, 479–485.
135. Motta, E. V. S. *et al.* (2018). [Glyphosate perturbs the gut microbiota of honey bees](#). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Vol 115, 10305–10310.
136. Baude, M. *et al.* (2016). [Historical nectar assessment reveals the fall and rise of floral resources in Britain](#). *Nature*, Vol 530, 85.
137. [Lost life: England's lost and threatened species \(NE233\)\(Part 3\)](#). Natural England.
138. Lawton, J. *et al.* (2010). [Making Space for Nature: a review of England's wildlife sites and ecological network](#). Defra.
139. Robins, J. *et al.* (2013). [The state of brownfields in the Thames Gateway](#). Buglife.
140. Owens, A. C. S. *et al.* (2019). [Light pollution is a driver of insect declines](#). *Biological Conservation*, 108259.
141. Vanbergen, A. J. *et al.* (2019). [Risk to pollinators from anthropogenic electro-magnetic radiation \(EMR\): Evidence and knowledge gaps](#). *Science of The Total Environment*, Vol 695, 133833.
142. Knop, E. *et al.* (2017). [Artificial light at night as a new threat to pollination](#). *Nature*, Vol 548, 206–209.
143. Macgregor, C. J. *et al.* (2017). [The dark side of street lighting: impacts on moths and evidence for the disruption of nocturnal pollen transport](#). *Global Change Biology*, Vol 23, 697–707.
144. Shepherd, S. *et al.* (2018). [Extremely Low Frequency Electromagnetic Fields impair the Cognitive and Motor Abilities of Honey Bees](#). *Scientific Reports*, Vol 8,
145. Girling, R. D. *et al.* (2013). [Diesel exhaust rapidly degrades floral odours used by honeybees](#). *Scientific Reports*, Vol 3, 2779.
146. Vaughan, I. P. *et al.* (2012). [Large-scale, long-term trends in British river macroinvertebrates](#). *Global Change Biology*, Vol 18, 2184–2194.
147. Farré-Armengol, G. *et al.* (2016). [Ozone degrades floral scent and reduces pollinator attraction to flowers](#). *New Phytologist*, Vol 209, 152–160.
148. Sutherland, W. J. *et al.* (2018). [A 2018 Horizon Scan of Emerging Issues for Global Conservation and Biological Diversity](#). *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, Vol 33, 47–58.
149. Sutton, G. P. *et al.* (2016). [Mechanosensory hairs in bumblebees \(*Bombus terrestris* \) detect weak electric fields](#). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Vol 113, 7261–7265.
150. Wan, G. *et al.* (2014). [Bio-effects of near-zero magnetic fields on the growth, development and reproduction of small brown planthopper, *Laodelphax striatellus* and brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*](#). *Journal of Insect Physiology*, Vol 68, 7–15.
151. Lázaro, A. *et al.* (2016). [Electromagnetic radiation of mobile telecommunication antennas affects the abundance and composition of wild pollinators](#). *Journal of Insect Conservation*, Vol 20, 315–324.
152. Baldock, K. C. R. *et al.* (2015). [Where is the UK's pollinator biodiversity? The importance of urban areas for flower-visiting insects](#). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, Vol 282, 20142849.
153. Hill, M. J. *et al.* (2017). [Urban ponds as an aquatic biodiversity resource in modified landscapes](#). *Global Change Biology*, Vol 23, 986–999.
154. Hill, M. J. *et al.* (2018). [Community heterogeneity of aquatic macroinvertebrates in urban ponds at a multi-city scale](#). *Landscape Ecology*, Vol 33, 389–405.
155. Samuelson, A. E. *et al.* (2018). [Lower bumblebee colony reproductive success in agricultural compared with urban environments](#). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, Vol 285, 20180807.
156. Osborne, J. L. *et al.* (2007). [Quantifying and comparing bumblebee nest densities in gardens and countryside habitats: Bumblebee nest survey in gardens and countryside](#). *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 45, 784–792.
157. Goulson, D. *et al.* (2010). [Effects of land use at a landscape scale on bumblebee nest density and survival: Landscape effects on bumblebee nest survival](#). *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 47, 1207–1215.
158. Stelzer, R. J. *et al.* (2010). [Winter Active Bumblebees \(*Bombus terrestris*\) Achieve High Foraging Rates in Urban Britain](#). *PLoS ONE*, Vol 5, e9559.
159. Hanley, M. E. *et al.* (2015). [On the verge? Preferential use of road-facing hedgerow margins by bumblebees in agro-ecosystems](#). *Journal of Insect Conservation*, Vol 19, 67–74.
160. Baldock, K. C. R. *et al.* (2019). [A systems approach reveals urban pollinator hotspots and conservation opportunities](#). *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, Vol 3, 363–373.
161. Kovács-Hostyánszki, A. *et al.* (2017). [Ecological intensification to mitigate impacts of conventional intensive land use on pollinators and pollination](#). *Ecology Letters*, Vol 20, 673–689.
162. Ewald, J. A. *et al.* (2015). [Influences of extreme weather, climate and pesticide use on invertebrates in cereal fields over 42 years](#). *Global Change Biology*, Vol 21, 3931–3950.
163. Carvell, C. *et al.* (2006). [Declines in forage availability for bumblebees at a national scale](#). *Biological Conservation*, Vol 132, 481–489.
164. Raine, N. E. *et al.* (2015). [Tasteless pesticides affect bees in the field](#). *Nature*, Vol 521, 38–39.
165. Holzschuh, A. *et al.* (2016). [Mass-flowering crops dilute pollinator abundance in agricultural landscapes across Europe](#). *Ecology Letters*, Vol 19, 1228–1236.
166. Marini, L. *et al.* (2014). [Contrasting effects of habitat area and connectivity on evenness of pollinator communities](#). *Ecography*, Vol 37, 544–551.
167. Vanbergen, A. J. *et al.* (2014). [Status and value of pollinators and pollination services](#). Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

168. Botías, C. *et al.* (2017). [Quantifying exposure of wild bumblebees to mixtures of agrochemicals in agricultural and urban landscapes](#). *Environmental Pollution*, Vol 222, 73–82.
169. Gill, R. J. *et al.* (2012). [Combined pesticide exposure severely affects individual- and colony-level traits in bees](#). *Nature*, Vol 491, 105.
170. Tsvetkov, N. *et al.* (2017). [Chronic exposure to neonicotinoids reduces honey bee health near corn crops](#). *Science*, Vol 356, 1395–1397.
171. Nicholls, E. *et al.* (2018). [Monitoring Neonicotinoid Exposure for Bees in Rural and Peri-urban Areas of the U.K. during the Transition from Pre- to Post-moratorium](#). *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, Vol 52, 9391–9402.
172. Shardlow, M. (2017). [Neonicotinoid Insecticides in British Freshwaters: 2016 Water Framework Directive Watch List Monitoring Results and Recommendations](#). Buglife.
173. Woodcock, B. A. *et al.* (2017). [Country-specific effects of neonicotinoid pesticides on honey bees and wild bees](#). *Science*, Vol 356, 1393–1395.
174. Siviter, H. *et al.* (2018). [Quantifying the impact of pesticides on learning and memory in bees](#). *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 55, 2812–2821.
175. Huang, W.-F. *et al.* (2013). [Nosema ceranae Escapes Fumagillin Control in Honey Bees](#). *PLoS Pathogens*, Vol 9, e1003185.
176. Mao, W. *et al.* (2017). [Disruption of quercetin metabolism by fungicide affects energy production in honey bees \(*Apis mellifera*\)](#). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Vol 114, 2538–2543.
177. Stanley, D. A. *et al.* (2015). [Neonicotinoid pesticide exposure impairs crop pollination services provided by bumblebees](#). *Nature*, Vol 528, 548.
178. Sands, B. *et al.* (2018). [Sustained parasiticide use in cattle farming affects dung beetle functional assemblages](#). *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, Vol 265, 226–235.
179. Wall, R. *et al.* (2012). [Area-wide impact of macrocyclic lactone parasiticides in cattle dung](#). *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, Vol 26, 1–8.
180. Smith, D. B. *et al.* (2019). [Developmental exposure to pesticide contaminated food impedes bumblebee brain growth predisposing adults to become poorer learners](#). *bioRxiv*.
181. Kenna, D. *et al.* (2019). [Pesticide exposure affects flight dynamics and reduces flight endurance in bumblebees](#). *Ecology and Evolution*, Vol 9, 5637–5650.
182. Samuelson, E. E. W. *et al.* (2016). [Effect of acute pesticide exposure on bee spatial working memory using an analogue of the radial-arm maze](#). *Scientific Reports*, Vol 6.
183. Arce, A. N. *et al.* (2017). [Impact of controlled neonicotinoid exposure on bumblebees in a realistic field setting](#). *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 54, 1199–1208.
184. Feest, A. *et al.* (2014). [Nitrogen deposition and the reduction of butterfly biodiversity quality in the Netherlands](#). *Ecological Indicators*, Vol 39, 115–119.
185. Beebase, Beekeeping information resource for Beekeepers.
186. Breeze, T. D. *et al.* (2017). [The costs of beekeeping for pollination services in the UK – an explorative study](#). *Journal of Apicultural Research*, Vol 56, 310–317.
187. Hoopingarner, R. *et al.* (1991). [The costs of beekeeping. II: Survey of Sideline Beekeepers](#). *American Bee Journal*, Vol 131, 114–115.
188. Breeze, T. D. *et al.* (2019). [Linking farmer and beekeeper preferences with ecological knowledge to improve crop pollination](#). *People and Nature*, Vol 1, 562–572.
189. Stokstad, E. (2007). [The Case of the Empty Hives](#). *Science*, Vol 316, 970–972.
190. Jacques, A. *et al.* (2017). [A pan-European epidemiological study reveals honey bee colony survival depends on beekeeper education and disease control](#). *PLOS ONE*, Vol 12, e0172591.
191. Fürst, M. A. *et al.* (2014). [Disease associations between honeybees and bumblebees as a threat to wild pollinators](#). *Nature*, Vol 506, 364.
192. McMahon, D. P. *et al.* (2015). [A sting in the spit: widespread cross-infection of multiple RNA viruses across wild and managed bees](#). *Journal of Animal Ecology*, Vol 84, 615–624.
193. Graystock, P. *et al.* (2013). [The Trojan hives: pollinator pathogens, imported and distributed in bumblebee colonies](#). *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 50, 100–108.
194. Graystock, P. *et al.* (2013). [Emerging dangers: Deadly effects of an emergent parasite in a new pollinator host](#). *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, Vol 114, 114–119.
195. Radzevičiūtė, R. *et al.* (2017). [Replication of honey bee-associated RNA viruses across multiple bee species in apple orchards of Georgia, Germany and Kyrgyzstan](#). *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, Vol 146, 14–23.
196. Bailes, E. J. *et al.* (2018). [First detection of bee viruses in hoverfly \(syrphid\) pollinators](#). *Biology Letters*, Vol 14, 20180001.
197. Mann, C. M. *et al.* (2015). [Lethal and sub-lethal effects of faecal deltamethrin residues on dung-feeding insects](#). *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, Vol 29, 189–195.
198. Van Dijk, T. C. *et al.* (2013). [Macro-Invertebrate Decline in Surface Water Polluted with Imidacloprid](#). *PLoS ONE*, Vol 8, e62374.
199. Kurze, S. *et al.* (2018). [Nitrogen enrichment in host plants increases the mortality of common Lepidoptera species](#). *Oecologia*, Vol 188, 1227–1237.
200. Martay, B. *et al.* (2017). [Impacts of climate change on national biodiversity population trends](#). *Ecography*, Vol 40, 1139–1151.
201. Mair, L. *et al.* (2014). [Abundance changes and habitat availability drive species' responses to climate change](#). *Nature Climate Change*, Vol 4, 127.
202. Kerr, J. T. *et al.* (2015). [Climate change impacts on bumblebees converge across continents](#). *Science*, Vol 349, 177–180.
203. Suggitt, A. J. *et al.* (2019). [Widespread Effects of Climate Change on Local Plant Diversity](#). *Current Biology*, Vol 29, 2905–2911.e2.
204. Phillips, B. B. *et al.* (2018). [Drought reduces floral resources for pollinators](#). *Global Change Biology*, Vol 24, 3226–3235.
205. [Warm and wet year brings influx of migrants with mixed fortunes for resident species](#). *National Trust*.
206. Oliver, T. H. *et al.* (2015). [Interacting effects of climate change and habitat fragmentation on drought-sensitive butterflies](#). *Nature Climate Change*, Vol 5, 941–945.
207. Oliver, T. H. *et al.* (2017). [Large extents of intensive land use limit community reorganization during](#)

- [climate warming](#). *Global Change Biology*, Vol 23, 2272–2283.
208. Soroye, P. *et al.* (2020). [Climate change contributes to widespread declines among bumble bees across continents](#). *Science*, Vol 367, 685–688.
209. Gardiner, T. *et al.* (2020). Glowing, glowing, gone? Monitoring long-term trends in glow-worm numbers in south-east England. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, Vol 13
210. Coleman, P. C. *et al.* (2014). [Cross-generation plasticity in cold hardiness is associated with diapause, but not the non-diapause developmental pathway, in the blow fly *Calliphora vicina*](#). *Journal of Experimental Biology*, Vol 217, 1454–1461.
211. Pozsgai, G. *et al.* (2018). [Phenological changes of the most commonly sampled ground beetle \(Coleoptera: Carabidae\) species in the UK environmental change network](#). *International Journal of Biometeorology*, Vol 62, 1063–1074.
212. Villalobos-Jiménez, G. *et al.* (2017). [Effects of the urban heat island on the phenology of Odonata in London, UK](#). *International Journal of Biometeorology*, Vol 61, 1337–1346.
213. Hodgson, J. A. *et al.* (2011). [Predicting insect phenology across space and time: Predicting Insect Phenology](#). *Global Change Biology*, Vol 17, 1289–1300.
214. Schenk, M. *et al.* (2018). [Desynchronizations in bee–plant interactions cause severe fitness losses in solitary bees](#). *Journal of Animal Ecology*, Vol 87, 139–149.
215. Bale, J. S. *et al.* (2010). [Insect overwintering in a changing climate](#). *Journal of Experimental Biology*, Vol 213, 980–994.
216. MacGregor, C. J. *et al.* (2019). [Climate-induced phenology shifts linked to range expansions in species with multiple reproductive cycles per year](#). *Nature Communications*,
217. Thomas, C. (2019). [The development of Anthropocene biotas](#). *Philosophical Transactions Of The Royal Society Of London Series B - Biological Sciences*,
218. Bryden, J. *et al.* (2013). [Chronic sublethal stress causes bee colony failure](#). *Ecology Letters*, Vol 16, 1463–1469.
219. Easton, A. H. *et al.* (2013). [The Neonicotinoid Insecticide Imidacloprid Repels Pollinating Flies and Beetles at Field-Realistic Concentrations](#). *PLoS ONE*, Vol 8, e54819.
220. Gilburn, A. S. *et al.* (2015). [Are neonicotinoid insecticides driving declines of widespread butterflies?](#) *PeerJ*, Vol 3, e1402.
221. Whitehorn, P. R. *et al.* (2018). [Larval exposure to the neonicotinoid imidacloprid impacts adult size in the farmland butterfly *Pieris brassicae*](#). *PeerJ*, Vol 6, e4772.
222. Woodcock, B. A. *et al.* (2018). [Neonicotinoid residues in UK honey despite European Union moratorium](#). *PLoS ONE*, Vol 13, e0189681.
223. Goulson, D. *et al.* (2018). [Rapid rise in toxic load for bees revealed by analysis of pesticide use in Great Britain](#). *PeerJ*, Vol 6, e5255.
224. Kessler, S. C. *et al.* (2015). [Bees prefer foods containing neonicotinoid pesticides](#). *Nature*, Vol 521, 74.
225. Roessink, I. *et al.* (2013). [The neonicotinoid imidacloprid shows high chronic toxicity to mayfly nymphs: Imidacloprid shows high chronic toxicity to mayfly nymphs](#). *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, Vol 32, 1096–1100.
226. Raby, M. *et al.* (2018). [Acute toxicity of 6 neonicotinoid insecticides to freshwater invertebrates: Aquatic toxicity of neonicotinoid insecticides](#). *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, Vol 37, 1430–1445.
227. Siviter, H. *et al.* (2018). [Sulfoxaflor exposure reduces bumblebee reproductive success](#). *Nature*, Vol 561, 109–112.
228. Siviter, H. *et al.* (2019). [No evidence for negative impacts of acute sulfoxaflor exposure on bee olfactory conditioning or working memory](#). *PeerJ*, Vol 7, e7208.
229. Bohnenblust, E. W. *et al.* (2016). [Effects of the herbicide dicamba on nontarget plants and pollinator visitation: Dicamba and pollinator visitation](#). *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, Vol 35, 144–151.
230. Brown, M. J. F. *et al.* (2016). [A horizon scan of future threats and opportunities for pollinators and pollination](#). *PeerJ*, Vol 4, e2249.
231. [Butterflies and the Law](#).
232. [Legal protection for moths](#).
233. [Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006](#).
234. [National Pollinator Strategy 2014 to 2024: implementation](#). GOV.UK.
235. Harvey, J. A. *et al.* (2020). [International scientists formulate a roadmap for insect conservation and recovery](#). *Nature Ecology & Evolution*,
236. Defra (2018). [A Green Future: Out 25 Year Plan to Improve the Environment](#).
237. [Nature Recovery Network: Discussion Document](#). April 2019. Defra.
238. [Environment Bill 2019-20](#) — UK Parliament.
239. Bladon, A. J. *et al.* (2019). [Effects of conservation interventions on terrestrial and freshwater invertebrates: a protocol for subjectwide evidence synthesis](#). Conservation Evidence, Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge.
240. Toniello, R. K. *et al.* (2018). [Habitat restoration benefits wild bees: A meta-analysis](#). *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 55, 582–590.
241. Gillingham, P. K. *et al.* (2015). [High Abundances of Species in Protected Areas in Parts of their Geographic Distributions Colonized during a Recent Period of Climatic Change: Species show higher abundance inside PAs](#). *Conservation Letters*, Vol 8, 97–106.
242. Thomas, C. D. *et al.* (2012). [Protected areas facilitate species' range expansions](#). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Vol 109, 14063–14068.
243. Nowakowski, M. *et al.* (2016). [Habitat Creation and Management for Pollinators](#).
244. Woodcock, B. A. *et al.* (2012). [Effects of seed addition on beetle assemblages during the re-creation of species-rich lowland hay meadows: Effects of seed addition on beetle assemblages](#). *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, Vol 5, 19–26.
245. Pywell, R. F. *et al.* (2015). [Wildlife-friendly farming increases crop yield: evidence for ecological intensification](#). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, Vol 282, 20151740.
246. Alison, J. *et al.* (2017). [Successful restoration of moth abundance and species-richness in grassland created under agri-environment schemes](#). *Biological Conservation*, Vol 213, 51–58.

247. Alison, J. *et al.* (2016). [Spatial targeting of habitat creation has the potential to improve agri-environment scheme outcomes for macro-moths](#). *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 53, 1814–1822.
248. [Important Invertebrate Areas](#). *Buglife*.
249. Ballantyne, G. *et al.* (2015). [Constructing more informative plant–pollinator networks: visitation and pollen deposition networks in a heathland plant community](#). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, Vol 282, 20151130.
250. Dicks, L. V. *et al.* (2010). [Bee Conservation: Evidence for the effects of interventions](#). Pelagic Publishing.
251. Sotherton, N. W. (1991). [Conservation Headlands: a practical combination of intensive cereal farming and conservation](#). in *The Ecology of Temperate Cereal Fields*. 373–397. Blackwell Scientific Publications.
252. Bennett, A. B. *et al.* (2014). [Landscape composition influences pollinators and pollination services in perennial biofuel plantings](#). *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, Vol 193, 1–8.
253. Carvell, C. *et al.* (2017). [Bumblebee family lineage survival is enhanced in high-quality landscapes](#). *Nature*, Vol 543, 547.
254. Tonietto, R. *et al.* (2011). [A comparison of bee communities of Chicago green roofs, parks and prairies](#). *Landscape and Urban Planning*, Vol 103, 102–108.
255. Senapathi, D. *et al.* (2015). [The impact of over 80 years of land cover changes on bee and wasp pollinator communities in England](#). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, Vol 282, 20150294.
256. Batáry, P. *et al.* (2007). [Responses of grassland specialist and generalist beetles to management and landscape complexity](#). *Diversity and Distributions*, Vol 13, 196–202.
257. Van Geert, A. *et al.* (2010). [Do linear landscape elements in farmland act as biological corridors for pollen dispersal?: Linear landscape elements as corridors](#). *Journal of Ecology*, Vol 98, 178–187.
258. M'Gonigle, L. K. *et al.* (2015). [Habitat restoration promotes pollinator persistence and colonization in intensively managed agriculture](#). *Ecological Applications*, Vol 25, 1557–1565.
259. [Agriculture Bill 2019-20](#) — UK Parliament.
260. Pe'er, G. *et al.* (2017). [Adding Some Green to the Greening: Improving the EU's Ecological Focus Areas for Biodiversity and Farmers: Evaluation of EU's ecological focus areas](#). *Conservation Letters*, Vol 10, 517–530.
261. [Countryside Stewardship](#). GOV.UK.
262. [Canvey Wick Nature Reserve](#), Canvey Island, Essex. *The RSPB*.
263. Tarrant, S. *et al.* (2013). [Grassland Restoration on Landfill Sites in the East Midlands, United Kingdom: An Evaluation of Floral Resources and Pollinating Insects: Flowers and Pollinating Insects on Restored Landfills](#). *Restoration Ecology*, Vol 21, 560–568.
264. Morón, D. *et al.* (2014). [Railway Embankments as New Habitat for Pollinators in an Agricultural Landscape](#). *PLoS ONE*, Vol 9, e101297.
265. Garbuzov, M. *et al.* (2014). [Listmania: The Strengths and Weaknesses of Lists of Garden Plants to Help Pollinators](#). *BioScience*, Vol 64, 1019–1026.
266. Garbuzov, M. *et al.* (2014). [Quantifying variation among garden plants in attractiveness to bees and other flower-visiting insects](#). *Functional Ecology*, Vol 28, 364–374.
267. Ksiazek, K. *et al.* (2012). [An assessment of pollen limitation on Chicago green roofs](#). *Landscape and Urban Planning*, Vol 107, 401–408.
268. Noordijk, J. *et al.* (2009). [Optimizing grassland management for flower-visiting insects in roadside verges](#). *Biological Conservation*, Vol 142, 2097–2103.
269. Watson, C. J. *et al.* (2019). [Ecological and economic benefits of low-intensity urban lawn management](#). *Journal of Applied Ecology*,
270. Hicks, D. M. *et al.* (2016). [Food for Pollinators: Quantifying the Nectar and Pollen Resources of Urban Flower Meadows](#). *PLOS ONE*, Vol 11, e0158117.
271. Blackmore, L. M. *et al.* (2014). [Evaluating the effectiveness of wildflower seed mixes for boosting floral diversity and bumblebee and hoverfly abundance in urban areas](#). *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, Vol 7, 480–484.
272. Salisbury, A. *et al.* (2019). [Enhancing gardens as habitats for soil-surface-active invertebrates: should we plant native or exotic species?](#) *Biodiversity and Conservation*,
273. Keilsohn, W. *et al.* (2018). [Roadside habitat impacts insect traffic mortality](#). *Journal of Insect Conservation*, Vol 22, 183–188.
274. Larson, J. L. *et al.* (2013). [Assessing Insecticide Hazard to Bumble Bees Foraging on Flowering Weeds in Treated Lawns](#). *PLoS ONE*, Vol 8, e66375.
275. [Pesticide-Free Towns](#), Pesticide Action Network UK.
276. [How it works](#), Green Flag Award.
277. MacIvor, J. S. *et al.* (2015). ['Bee Hotels' as Tools for Native Pollinator Conservation: A Premature Verdict?](#) *PLOS ONE*, Vol 10, e0122126.
278. Goulson, D. (2019). [The Garden Jungle: or Gardening to Save the Planet](#). Random House.
279. Barkham, P. (2018). [How to rewild your garden: ditch chemicals and decorate the concrete](#). *The Guardian*.
280. [Rewilding Britain Fantastic mini-beasts \(and how to revive them\)](#). *Rewilding Britain*.
281. [Give Nature a Home in Your Garden | Your Personal Plan](#). *The RSPB*.
282. [Wildlife Gardening](#), The Wildlife Trusts.
283. Ricketts, T. H. *et al.* (2008). [Landscape effects on crop pollination services: are there general patterns?](#) *Ecology Letters*, Vol 11, 499–515.
284. Garibaldi, L. A. *et al.* (2011). [Stability of pollination services decreases with isolation from natural areas despite honey bee visits: Habitat isolation and pollination stability](#). *Ecology Letters*, Vol 14, 1062–1072.
285. Kennedy, C. M. *et al.* (2013). [A global quantitative synthesis of local and landscape effects on wild bee pollinators in agroecosystems](#). *Ecology Letters*, Vol 16, 584–599.
286. Carvell, C. *et al.* (2015). [Effects of an agri-environment scheme on bumblebee reproduction at local and landscape scales](#). *Basic and Applied Ecology*, Vol 16, 519–530.
287. Wood, T. J. *et al.* (2015). [Pollinator-friendly management does not increase the diversity of farmland bees and wasps](#). *Biological Conservation*, Vol 187, 120–126.
288. McCracken, M. E. *et al.* (2015). [Social and ecological drivers of success in agri-environment schemes: the roles of farmers and environmental context](#). *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol 52, 696–705.
289. [ASSIST](#), Achieving Sustainable Agricultural Systems.
290. [The Cool Farm Tool](#).

291. Estay, S. A. *et al.* (2012). [Increased outbreak frequency associated with changes in the dynamic behaviour of populations of two aphid species](#). *Oikos*, Vol 121, 614–622.
292. Southwood, T. R. E. (1961). [The Number of Species of Insect Associated with Various Trees](#). *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, Vol 30, 1.
293. Kennedy, C. E. J. *et al.* (1984). [The Number of Species of Insects Associated with British Trees: A Re-Analysis](#). *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, Vol 53, 455.
294. Alexander, K. *et al.* (2006). [The value of different tree and shrub species to wildlife](#). *British Wildlife*, Vol 18, 18.
295. [Woodland Trust British trees to plant in your garden: 14 native tree ideas](#). *Woodland Trust*.
296. [Woodland Trust A-Z Guide - British Trees](#). *Woodland Trust*.
297. [The value of different tree species for insects and lichens](#).
298. Hodgson, J. A. *et al.* (2010). [Comparing organic farming and land sparing: optimizing yield and butterfly populations at a landscape scale: Organic farming and land sparing](#). *Ecology Letters*, Vol 13, 1358–1367.
299. [Create beetle banks](#). Conservation Evidence, Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge.
300. Dicks, L. V. *et al.* (2014). [Farmland Conservation: Evidence for the effects of interventions in northern and western Europe](#). Pelagic Publishing Ltd.
301. Dicks, L. V. *et al.* (2016). [Ten policies for pollinators](#). *Science*, Vol 354, 975–976.
302. [Natural Pest Control](#), Conservation Evidence: Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge
303. [Integrated Pest Management](#), NFU. *Voluntary Initiative*.
304. [Integrated Pest Management](#). *Voluntary Initiative*.
305. Willis, S. G. *et al.* (2009). [Assisted colonization in a changing climate: a test-study using two U.K. butterflies](#). *Conservation Letters*, Vol 2, 46–52.
306. International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources *et al.* (2013). [Guidelines for reintroductions and other conservation translocations](#).
307. [Large Blue Butterfly Collaborating to conserve](#). Centre for Ecology & Hydrology.
308. Fox, R. *et al.* (2015). [The State of the UK's Butterflies 2015](#). Butterfly Conservation and the Centre for Ecology & Hydrology,.
309. Thomas, J. A. *et al.* (2009). [Successful Conservation of a Threatened *Maculinea* Butterfly](#). *Science*, Vol 325, 80–83.